	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/695,184	SUERMONDT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chun Cao	2115
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) Chun Cao.	(3)	
(2) Alan D. Christenson (54,036).	(4)	,
Date of Interview: 30 August 2004	Time: <u>11:00am</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.	·	
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 3-11 and 13-29		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.	`	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Restriction & Election was discussed pertaining to claims 1-29. Applicant elected Group I (claims 1, 3-11, 13-22) without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I. Applicant agreed to cancel Group II (claims 23-29) in order to place the application in condition for allowance.